

Notes of Parking Meeting on 23 rd July 2019	Notes of Parking Meeting on 18 th September 2019
<p>1 School Bus Pull-in [TA]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School letter home to include a reinforcement that parking is strictly limited to blue badge holders only, or in extreme emergencies • Possibility of using (and training) some staff as monitors to prevent unauthorised parking in the bus pull-in, even if only on an occasional basis – to be further examined • Any legislation governing parking ‘close to’ a school entrance to be checked <p>2 Monitor Club Car Park Permits [TA / SN / JB] Monitor vehicles dropping off pupils to ensure they have a current/valid club parking permit – probably sometime during the first week of term. It was hoped that the School Administrator would continue to oversee the allocation of the permits.</p>	<p>Not updated at this meeting</p> <p>Not updated at this meeting</p> <p><u>Post Meeting Note</u> <i>The current version of the Highway Code, Rule 243 states “DO NOT stop or park near a school entrance”. [Relevant Laws: RTA1988 sect 22,& CUR Reg 193]</i></p> <p>It was confirmed that the School Administrator will continue to issue parking permits for the club car park, and collect the payments for the club.</p> <p>The school has received several complaints about the increase in the club car park charges applied from September 2019, most of which came from the established parent body. It was felt that new parents may be accepting the new charges with less adverse comment.</p> <p>At the time of the meeting (very early in the new school year) 30 families had signed up for the club car park – this contrasts with some 64 families at about the same time last year.</p> <p>At the meeting, the sum of £480 was handed over to the club – again, this contrasts with £640 last year. The £480 comprises both old and new rates.</p> <p>See item 4 below for more information on this topic.</p>

Post Meeting Note

As at early November 88 permits had been issued. However, only the vehicle registration numbers have been listed and at this time there is no way of knowing how many family units are involved (as there may well be more than one vehicle permitted per family) Although it may appear that more permits have been issued than there are parking slots available (44 not including the layby) this may not in fact be the case. It is also not yet known how many permits were issued at the new price. Clarification of this will be sought in due course.

3 BT Vans [Clerk]

Concern was expressed that vans were being parked at critical times (drop-off and pick-up) during the day.

Post Meeting Note. Ruth K has since stated that (a) the above concern is far less than it used to be, and (b) she keeps a 'Lollipop Lady Log' of BT vans breaking the unwritten rule. Suggest we look at this log to identify any trends.

This situation has improved somewhat, and no further action is deemed necessary at this time, or unless it deteriorates.

4 Club Car Park Maintenance [PH]

It was generally agreed that the increase in parking charges would be more easily 'sold' if some evidence of increased club car park maintenance costs could be shown. Perhaps the club could keep a record of car park costs (both historical, current and forecast) – to be re-visited.

It was generally agreed that it would be prudent to keep a tally of costs associated with the car park. This should be easily achieved from the club's accounts, and would be useful to have in the event that the permit costs is again increased – especially in the short term.

5 Club Layby on Smithy Lane [JB / PH]

It was thought that his layby could have some form of preventative device/barrier to stop parking at any time – the device/barrier should be placed so that two-way traffic is possible in SL adjacent to the layby. Types of preventative devices/barriers, possibly something like this -

https://www.safetybuyer.com/padlock-parking-post.html?simpleId=14705&gclid=EAlaIQobChMlvDPrLPV4wIVgbTtCh3FvgZIEAYBCABEgKq3vD_BwE

It was generally agreed that putting a barrier in the layby would be a good idea, but that the width of the layby should only be reduced by about half of its current width.

The costs involved were not discussed in any detail, but the parish council indicated that it may be possible to make a contribution towards those costs.

No action plan to progress this item was discussed.

- and any new notices and funding to be considered.

6 NEW ITEM (All)

It was agreed that a further survey of parent parking in the club car park should be undertaken, especially with regard to vehicles displaying their permits.

It was suggested that the school should issue clarification on the displaying of permits (probably via one of their regular newsletters 'home'). It was also proposed that, after due warning had been given, that vehicles not displaying the relevant current permit should be refused entry into the club car park.

No timetable for either the 'warning' or the subsequent monitoring was agreed.